

Evolution: Error in Fact for 2,500 Years

©Joseph Mastropaolo 2009

About seven years ago, Karl Priest and I began offering evolutionists unlimited \$10,000 awards for their scientific evidence. Not one evolutionist could come up with any scientific evidence. You may be surprised, but we were not because we had done our homework. We had searched the literature back to 500 B.C. and knew the story of how it all began. The ancient Greeks were good observers, but they did not have microscopes. They were not able to see the microscopic eggs that flies lay on putrefying meat. They thought the flies were only feeding. When the maggots suddenly appeared, they had no explanation. They thought the maggots were spontaneously generated by the goddess, Gaea. Then they noticed that the maggot made a pupa, which they thought was an egg, and out hatched a fly. Well, there it was. The spontaneously generated maggot evolved to a fly and that was taught in the schools for more than 2,000 years.

Then, along came the father of modern science in 1668. Francesco Redi, M.D., with his "fine microscope," and his excellent controlled experiments proved that the same kind of fly that hatched from the pupa was the kind that laid its tiny eggs on the meat. The maggot hatched from the unnoticed egg, gained about 2,000 times its birth weight on the meat diet, then made a pupa and hatched as the same kind of fly that laid the egg. There was no spontaneous generation. There was no evolution. There was only the missed beginning stages of metamorphosis to gain enough cells and size to go from a tiny egg to an adult fly.

Of course, that was not the end of the story. The evolutionists then claimed that the fermentation in beers and wines proved spontaneous generation and evolution. So, Louis Pasteur in 1864 proved with his experiments that the yeast cells were airborne and that was what caused the fermentation. There was no spontaneous generation. There was no evolution. But the evolutionists would not give up. They claimed that the biological activity in laboratory broth was spontaneously generated and proved evolution. Unfortunately for the evolutionists, but fortunately for science, John Tyndall in 1877 proved with his experiments that the biological activity in laboratory broth was caused by bacteria aboard motes of dust. For the third time, the hardheaded evolutionists had irrefutable scientific proof that spontaneous generation and evolution were the superstitious ignorance used to explain the missed stages of metamorphosis or unicellular life.

For science, the case was closed. But now we have to face the fact that evolutionists are not scientists. They are businessmen disguised as scientists. There is money to be made with the evolution fiction. If young men can be indoctrinated

to believe that the indigenous people of the world, the ones with colored skins, are less evolved, then they can be convinced that it is the white man's burden to bring them civilization. In the process, the European businessmen will make themselves wealthy by buying the raw materials from the colonies and selling them back manufactured goods at a high profit. Exploiting other humans was, and still is, an efficient way to make many fortunes. Racism you may say is unethical but, as we all know, there are always people willing to murder a few or many for money.

Well, the evolutionists had no place to go scientifically. But something that had made fortunes for 2,000 years was too good to abandon. So, the plan was to gain political control of the schools. With bribes ("grants"), censorship, and persecution every mention of evolution was rewarded and every mention of the truth was punished. To cloak the strategy, evolution was declared science and any opposition was declared religious extremism that needed to be kept out of science.

That was the situation in the schools that I attended. I was an evolutionist because I was taught that scientifically there wasn't anything else. It was the biggest betrayal of my life and caused me to lose 21 years of my research career. Upon retirement, I felt something had to be done about it. In vain, I presented the facts to the local school district. They referred me to the science framework of the state board of education. The facts convinced the director, but before my next visit she was replaced. The textbook publishers made it plain that, even if it is false, they had to include evolution or their textbooks would not be approved. Anyone that believes that the educational systems have not been corrupted and can be changed has not tried and is naive.

I have presented original research at numerous scientific meetings, and I have published numerous original science articles in peer reviewed science journals. I also served as a peer reviewer for the foremost science journal in its field. So, I know well the conventions of science. One of those conventions is that if a public statement is made, which evolutionists must continually make, the scientist is bound to send his best evidence upon request. Of the more than 363,000 evolutionists worldwide, bar none, not one could send any scientific evidence to support evolution. From the above, you now know why. Ignorant superstition cannot be supported scientifically. To be doubly sure, the evolutionists were offered unlimited \$10,000 awards for their scientific evidence. With their scientific evidence, which they could use over and over again, they could make themselves millionaires in less than six months. Not one evolutionist was able to claim even one of those unlimited \$10,000 awards. And of course, we now know why. Ignorant superstitions, like spontaneous generation and evolution, cannot be supported scientifically.

Well, what can be done? One thing that can be done is to break through the censorship of the general population. To that end, a novel has been written and is offered on Amazon (http://www.amazon.com/Trumpet-Vine-Novel-Joseph-Mastropaolo/dp/0964020122/ref=sr_1_2?ie=UTF8&s=books&qid=1237950664&sr=8-2). It has received good reviews and comes with free unlimited technical support for anyone wishing to apply what the characters did. What else can be done? The local schools and teachers may be made aware that they are outlaws because of evolution. State law requires that only the truth be taught and evolution, which every school teaches, is the exact opposite of the truth. A national education study shows a decline in science achievement of 50% and in mathematics achievement of 40% between 4th and 12th grades. Those are the years that the anti-reality, evolution, is taught and, with such a turning of the universe upside down, it is the most probable cause of the declines. It is logical to assume that expelling evolution will cause science achievement to regain its lost 50% and mathematics to regain its lost 40%. There are ample science facts, and laws, and educational imperatives to litigate evolution out of all public schools forever and the cultural benefits worldwide would be tremendous. The Trumpet Vine tells how to do it and its free unlimited technical support is an insurance for success.

And for the record, there is no conflict between science and religion. The only conflict is between truth, science and religion, and the anti-truth, evolution.

Revised June 2, 2009